

May 23, 1991

LB 167, 413A, 614, 625, 652, 701A, 719, 802  
844

engrossed LB 652 and find the same correctly engrossed; LB 701A, LB 844 and LB 625, all reported correctly engrossed. (See pages 2480-81 of the Legislative Journal.)

New A bill, LB 413A, by Senator Baack. (Read title for the first time. See page 2481 of the Legislative Journal.)

Retirement Committee reports LB 802 to General File with committee amendments attached. Government Committee reports LB 614 to General File with committee amendments attached. Signed by the respective Chairs. (See pages 2481-87 of the Legislative Journal.)

Senator Withem has amendments to LB 719. (See AM2014.)

That's all that I have, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. We will now vote on the motion to adjourn. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 4 ayes, 13 nays to adjourn, Madam President.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Motion fails. Does anyone else wish to speak to the Chizek amendment? Seeing none, would you like to close, Senator Chizek.

SENATOR CHIZEK: I think it's kind of confusing even to me, but I think that Senator Landis pointed out that he had some objection to doing this statutorily and I...you know, he may be right. He may be right, I'm not sure. I guess my...I've had some unique experiences, not so much personally with my family in some of these insurance schemes, if you would. My attempt was to continue something that was in existence for people and, unfortunately, there's no way to do it right now but by statute. I don't oppose what Senator Kristensen is trying to do, and I told him this earlier today, for the cities, counties, et cetera, if that's what they want, and I understand that there are some problems perhaps for them doing it without the legislation. I just think that the amendment that I offer would provide something for those folks who already have, already have this kind of a program in existence. So, frankly, I'm doing nothing more by statute than the bill does. If you can take it away by statute, why can't we keep it there by statute? Maybe